

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CM

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,991	09/768,991 01/23/2001		Gary K. Michelson	101.0101-00000	4198
22882	7590	07/02/2004	EXAMINE		(ER
		ARO, LLP	PHILOGENE, PEDRO		
HARTVILI		S STREET, NE 44632		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, c				3732	<u> </u>
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	- M			
Office Action Summary			91	MICHELSON, GARY K.)N			
				Art Unit				
		Pedro Ph	ilogene	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	•			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ansions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) apperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the stat tory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be utory minimum of thirty (30) d ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro lication to become ABANDON	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communic NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>05 April 2004</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16,18-31,33-54,56-150 and 152-204 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16,18-31,33-54,56-150 and 152-204 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objecti							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to the oath or declaration is objected to the same of the same							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L_J Other:								

Application/Control Number: 09/768,991

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14,18-29,81-91,94-99,199-203,30,31,33,34,62-71,100-109,112-150,195-198,204 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simpson (6,342,074) in view of Benzel et al. (6,214,005).

With respect to claims 1, 26, 81 Simpson discloses a spinal implant (100) for insertion at least in part across at least the height of a disc space between adjacent vertebral bodies (10,12), the implant comprising opposed upper and lower surfaces (122,124) adapted to be placed toward and in contact each of the adjacent vertebral bodies (22,24), respectively from within the disc space; as best seen in figs. 1,2; a leading end for insertion into the disc space and between the adjacent vertebral bodies; a trailing end opposite the leading end, the trailing end having an exterior surface and an outer perimeter with an upper edge and a lower edge adapted to be oriented toward the adjacent vertebral bodies, respectively, as best seen in Figs. 1-4, the trailing end having a maximum height, as measured from the upper edge to the lower edge along the longitudinal axis of the human spine, the maximum height being adapted to fit within the disc space and between the vertebral bodies adjacent to the disc space; as best seen in Fig: 1; a bone screw (52,54) having a leading end for placement in the vertebral body and a trailing end opposite the leading end adapted to cooperatively engage the

Application/Control Number: 09/768,991

Art Unit: 3732

implant so as to prevent further advancement of the bone screw into the bone; a plura lity of bone screw receiving holes (152,154) in the trailing end.

With respect to claims 2-14,18-25,26-29,81-91,199-203, Simpson discloses all the limitations, as set forth in column 7, lines 45-67, column 8, lines 1-48, and as best seen in FIGS. 1-3.

It is noted that Simpson did not teach of at least one of the bone screw receiving holes passing through the exterior surface and one of the edges so as to permit the trailing end of the bone screw to protrude beyond the one of the edges; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Benzel et al evidence the use of a plurality of bone screw holes adapted to only partially circumferentially surround a trailing end of a bone screw adapted to be received therein and passing through an edge to provide better visibility.

Therefore, given the teaching of Benzel et al. it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Simpson, as taught by Benzel et al. to provide better visibility.

Claims 15,16,35-54,56-61,72-80,92-93,110,111,152-194 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simpson (6,342,074) in view of Benzel et al. (6,214,005) in view of Liu (6,629,998).

With respect to the above claims it is noted that the above combination of references did not teach of a trailing end of a bone screw extending beyond the maximum height of the trailing end of the implant; and one lock for retaining the screw within the implant; as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Liu evidences the

Application/Control Number: 09/768,991

Art Unit: 3732

use of a bone extending beyond the maximum height of the trailing end of the implant and a lock to allow a greater micro-motion between the vertebrae and the bone nail thereby promoting the bone growth and retaining means to prevent the screw from coming out.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Simpson/Benzel, as taught by Liu to allow a greater micro-motion between the vertebrae and the bone nail thereby promoting bone growth and retaining means to prevent the screw from coming out.

With respect to claims 152-194, the use of these instruments are old and well known in the medical art, and their uses are not believed to be critical in the operation of the device, as set forth above (see previous rejection).

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-198 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (703) 308-2252. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene June 24, 2004 PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER